IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH AUGUST 2007 / 17TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 20673 of 2007(J)

PETITIONER:
----

1. N.J. ULAHANNAN, S/O.JOSEPH,
HEALTH INSPECTOR, P.H.CENTRE, EDAVAKA, WAYANAD.

2. K.K. JOHNSON, S/O. KURIAKOSE,
HEALTH INSPECTOR, P.H. CENTRE, VELLAMUNDA,WAYANAD.

3. CHACKO C.J., S/O. JOSEPH,
HEALTH INSPECTOR GRADE II, P.H.CENTRE,
MEPPADI, WAYANAD.

BY ADV. SRI.KRISHNA PRASAD. S

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE ADDL.DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES
(PH), DIRECTORATE OF HEALTH SERVICES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES (PH),
DIRECTORATE OF HEALTH SERVICES,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH,
MALAPPURAM.

4. THE DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH,
KOZHIKODE.

5. THE DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH,
WAYANAD.

6. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE,GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 20673 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 8th day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The writ petitioners are Health Inspectors. They are aggrieved by the orders transferring them. In so far as petitioners 1 and 2 are concerned, first petitioner has already represented in the matter and it was agreed that the same will be considered and properly dealt with. In so far as the second petitioner is concerned, his grievance has been redressed by the Department and what survives for consideration is the grievance of the 3rd petitioner alone. It is stated in the writ petition that he too has already submitted Ext.P6 representation to the 2nd respondent highlighting his grievances. Today along with IA 10914/07, the learned counsel for the petitioners produced the communication dated 11/7/07 from the District Medical Officer (signed on 18/7/07) forwarding the representation of

the 3rd petitioner to the 2nd respondent for consideration.

2. Now that Ext.P6 representation of the 3rd petitioner is pending before the 2nd respondent,it is only proper that the 2nd respondent considers the same and pass orders thereon.

3. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P6, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Before final orders are passed, the petitioner may also be given an opportunity to make personal representation in the matter, if he so desires. Petitioner is directed to produce a copy of this judgment before the 2nd respondent for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp